



Republic of the Philippines  
Office of the President  
**DANGEROUS DRUGS BOARD**

**DDB BIDS AND AWARDS COMMITTEE  
RESOLUTION NO. 01  
Series of 2012**

**SUBJECT: SUPPLY and DELIVERY of TWO (2) UNITS BRAND NEW STAFF CAR (Asian Utility Vehicle Type)**

**WHEREAS**, the Dangerous Drugs Board Bids and Awards Committee (DDB-BAC) had caused the posting of an invitation to apply for eligibility and to bid for the Supply and Delivery of Two (2) Units Brand New Staff Car (Asian Utility Vehicle Type), with an Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) of One Million Eight Hundred Thousand Pesos (Php1,800,000.00), at its website and its premises and at the PhilGEPS website on 21 March 2012;

**WHEREAS**, at the end of office hours of 04 April 2012, the last day for the sale and issuance of bidding documents, the DDB-BAC did not receive any bid and/or response to its invitation to apply for eligibility and to bid for the SUPPLY and DELIVERY of TWO (2) UNITS BRAND NEW STAFF CAR (Asian Utility Vehicle Type), thereby resulting in a FIRST FAILED BIDDING;

**WHEREAS**, on 11 April 2012, the DDB-BAC had caused for the reposting of an invitation to apply for eligibility and to bid for the Supply and Delivery of Two (2) Units Brand New Staff Car (Asian Utility Vehicle Type), with the same Approved Budget for the Contract (ABC) of One Million Eight Hundred Thousand Pesos (Php1,800,000.00) and specifications, at its website and its premises and at the PhilGEPS website on 11 April 2012;

**WHEREAS**, in response to the second posting, three (3) companies namely: Toyota-Pasig, Inc., Toyota-Makati, Inc. and a certain Euclid Infotech in Mumbai, India showed interest to join the subject bidding;

**WHEREAS**, the prospective bidders requested for the issuance of supplemental information and that the technical specifications of the vehicles intended to be purchased be made detailed and specific, as alleged by them, the specifications stated in the DDB Invitation to Bid is too generic;

**WHEREAS**, during its Pre-Bid conference held on 18 April 2012, the BAC decided not to issue any supplemental information nor make any amendments on the technical specifications, reasoning out that the technical specifications be as generic as possible, and that amending the technical specifications may raise speculations of tailor-fitting the same to favor a specific brand;

**WHEREAS**, until the end of office hours of 27 April 2012, the last day for the sale and issuance of bidding documents, no prospective bidder purchased the DDB Bid Documents for the SUPPLY and DELIVERY of TWO (2) UNITS BRAND NEW STAFF CAR (Asian Utility Vehicle Type), thereby resulting in the SECOND FAILED BIDDING;

**WHEREFORE**, be it **RESOLVED**, as it is hereby **RESOLVED**, that after having gone through the first and second bidding process and activities as required by R.A. 9184, otherwise known as the "Government Procurement Reform Act", and its implementing rules and regulations, which both resulted in failed biddings, it is respectfully recommended that the Dangerous Drugs Board pursue the negotiated procurement for the purchase of two (2) Units Brand New Staff Car (Asian Utility Vehicle Type).

**APPROVED** and **ADOPTED** this 30<sup>th</sup> day of April in the year of Our Lord, 2012 in Quezon City.



**BENJAMIN P. REYES, DMD, MPH, MDM**  
Deputy Executive Director for Operations  
Chairman, Bids and Awards Committee